

## REMARKS

The Examiner indicated that claim 4-7 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Accordingly, applicants have canceled claims 1-3.

Applicant has rewritten claim 4 into independent form including all limitations of base claim 1, and intervening claims 2 and 3.

Claim 5 is original, but now depends from allowable independent claim 4.

Applicant has rewritten claim 6 into independent form including all limitations of base claim 1, and intervening claims 2.

Applicant has amended claim 7 so that it now depends from allowable independent claim 6.

Applicant has added new independent claim 8, which corresponds to previous claim 7 depending from claim 2.

Applicant has made these amendments in the interest of obtaining allowance of at least these allowable claims. Accordingly, Applicant reserves the right to reintroduce these original, or amended claims, in the event that the claims are not allowed, or to file a continuation application to pursue claims 1-3 as originally filed or previously pending.

In view of the foregoing amendment and remarks allowance of claims 4-8 is urged, and such action and the issuance of this case are requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Kevin M. Ferguson

By           /Matthew D. Rabdau/            
Matthew D. Rabdau  
Reg. No. 43026  
Attorney for Applicant

TEKTRONIX, INC.  
P.O. Box 500 (50-LAW)  
Beaverton, OR 97077  
(503) 627-75068

Attorney Docket No. 7775-US